



united state. Department of commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

mV

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. SWR-0004 WILBUER 03/21/00 09/446,623 **EXAMINER** PM82/0808 CHAMBERS, T CANTOR COLBURN SOUTH GRIFFIN ROAD **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD CT 06002 3641 DATE WAILED: 08/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application I	No.	Applicant(s)
	09/446,623		WILBUER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
	Troy Chambe	ers	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/446,623

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4238299 issued to Wang in view of EPO Publication EP 55679 issued to Baburek. Wang discloses a process for coating a shielding element with a boron copper layer. However, Wang does not disclose expressly that his method is applicable to deposition boron nickel particles.

Baburek discloses a method for coating a shielding element with a boron-nickel layer (page 3, II. 10-12 and 34-36).

- 3. With respect to claims 1 and 13, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to apply the coating method of Wang using the materials disclosed in Baburek. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to agitate the copper boron electrolyte solution thereby achieving an even distribution (Wang, col. 3, II. 19-40).
- 4. With respect to claim 2, see Wang, col. 4, Il. 48-59.
- 5. With respect to claim 3, see Wang, col. 4, Il. 12-17.
- 6. With respect to claim 4, see Wang, col. 3, Il. 19-22

Application/Control Number: 09/446,623

Art Unit: 3641

, **ના** . . .

- 7. With respect to claim 5, one having ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to remove the carbon element from the boron carbon compound. Removing the carbon element would eliminate the abrasive properties of the boron carbon compound but would physically allow more boron to be embedded in another metal as a result of the increase in molecular spacing.
- 8. With respect to claim 6, Baburek discloses a method for coating a shielding element with a bororn-nickel layer using a plasma torch (Abst.).
- 9. With respect to claim 7, Wang discloses electrolytic boron carbide deposition (Abst.).
- 10. With respect to claim 8, the combined disclosures of Wang and Baburek anticipate claim 1 and therefore would inherently obtain a coating of the thickness claimed by the Applicant.
- 11. With respect to claims 9, 10, and 13, see Wang, col. 1, Il. 20-22.
- 12. With respect to claim 11, see Wang, col. 2, Il. 19-22.
- 13. With respect to claim 12, see Wang, col. 2, Il. 58-61.

Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Application/Control Number: 09/446,623

Art Unit: 3641

5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

MICHAEL J. CARONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

__ Page 4 .